







UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE'
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,193	10/31/2001	Blaine D. Gaither	10017480 -1	5755
7:	590 02/25/2004		EXAMINER	
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY			CHACE, CHRISTIAN	
Intellectual Pro P.O. Box 27240	perty Administration		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, C	O 80527-2400		2187	D
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2004	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	K
	10/004,193	GAITHER ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christian P. Chace	2187	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relative to reply in the set of extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirt od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communica ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) The 3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under 	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matte		s is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 7-9 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,10 and 11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 6 and 12 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2001 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square one drawing(s) be held in abeyant ection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2.	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) S)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 2187

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 25 February 2002 was considered by the examiner, and entered as paper number two. A signed and initialed copy is attached hereto.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Talyansky et al (US Patent 6,678,794).

With respect to independent claim 1, a method of limiting dirty entries in a cache memory is disclosed in the abstract as a technique for cache management which provides a periodic flush to modified buffers. A buffer is an "entry," and, "modified" is interpreted as "dirty," as column 1, lines 36-38 disclose.

"Determining" the number of dirty entries in the cache memory is inherent in the disclosure, in column 3, lines 39-41, which recites that a process is responsive to a number of dirty buffers in the cache. In order for a process to be responsive to the number of dirty buffers, that number, inherently, must be determined.

Art Unit: 2187

Evicting, or copying to main memory, as defined at page 2, line 15 of the instant specification, at least one dirty entry from the cache memory is disclosed in column 3, lines 33-35, as flushing dirty buffers. Flushing is defined in column 1, lines 65-67, as writing data back to main memory, in this case the disk.

Proceeding with the eviction when the number of dirty entries exceeds a predetermined threshold is disclosed in column 3, lines 39-41 as being responsive to a number (threshold) of dirty buffers in the cache.

With respect to claim 2, evicting all dirty entries from the cache memory when the number of dirty entries exceeds a predetermined threshold is disclosed in column 3, lines 33-34 as flushing [all] dirty buffers, wherein fresh buffers are excluded from the flush procedure.

With respect to claim 3, varying the threshold is disclosed in column 3, lines 63-65 as dynamically adapting the threshold.

With respect to claim 4, setting the predetermined threshold to a "fixed value" is disclosed in column 6, line 46 as "preferably" dynamically adapted, meaning it does not have to be dynamically adapted – it may also be "fixed." In addition, examiner notes that even a dynamically adjusted threshold is "fixed," at least until it is adjusted again.

With respect to claim 5, setting the predetermined threshold by software is disclosed in column 3, line 66 as a software product.

With respect to independent claim 10, a counter is disclosed in column 6, line 2. Indicating a total number of modified lines (buffers) in the cache memory system is disclosed in column 3, lines 33-41. Examiner notes that in order to obtain a total

Art Unit: 2187

number of anything, including modified lines, or buffers, each individual one must be counted. A counter is anything that counts. Therefore, inherently, a counter must be used to count anything, including modified lines.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Steely, Jr. et al (US Patent 6,493,801).

With respect to independent claim 11, a storage area that indicates whether an entry has been transferred from a cache hierarchy other than the first hierarchy is disclosed in column 7, lines 45-50 as an owner field, which identifies the "agent (e.g., processor" having the most current version of a data item, or entry. Each processor is associated with its own hierarchy as plainly seen in figure 2, P0, P1, etc., for example, so, by identifying the processor, the respective hierarchy is inherently identified as well. The storage area is DIR 300, as discussed in column 7 with respect to figure 3.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-9 are allowed.

Claims 6 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2187

Conclusion

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christian P. Chace whose telephone number is 703.306.5903. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-4-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Donald Sparks can be reached on 703.308.1756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christian P. Chace